



Pat Friesen <patfriesen@gmail.com>

Nuclear issues

1 message

Paul A Olson <polson2@unlnotes.unl.edu>

Mon, Jul 27, 2009 at 3:56 PM

To: BBARNAWELL@aol.com, jdoran@neb.rr.com, patfriesen@gmail.com, cbentjen2000@yahoo.com, blair@prep.creighton.edu, cawindrum <cawindrum@gmail.com>, im50427@windstream.net, robelia@mainstaycomm.net, polson2@unl.edu, Timothy Shaw <peacemaking.workshop@gmail.com>, visgermnj@aol.com, barbara@homesteadpres.org, Betsy Blake Bennett <deaconbetsy@windstream.net>, shaw1201@inebraska.com

Dear colleagues,

I am trying to gather some materials in each of the areas where we discussed matters. It seems to me that to work on STRATCOM as an issues is too complicated for church groups in general. The issues is so complicated that parishes and congregations are not likely to grasp it in a timely fashion. I would think that it would be more productive to work on the nuclear arms race and the work leading up to the replacement for START and work that might empower Obama's hope for total nuclear disarmament. Stratcom might come in but in a minor way. What we might discuss next time is what each of our faith groups says about nuclear armaments. I have gathered some of these statements in the following attachment. If you know of statements by your group send them along.

Peace, Paul

Notes from last time: Stratcom and nucleararms racing: The group was largely stumped when it came to organizing around Stratcom issues. Some members spoke of joining Frank Cordaro's "die-in" in October to protest STRATCOM's mission. Obviously it would be useful to investigate your faith group position on nuclear war, offensive war, and congressional control over declarations of war before you do anything in this area.



NFP2009religiousstatementsonnukes.doc

46K
